|  |
| --- |
| Application Summary |
| Organisation |  |
| Organisation Address |  |
| Localities | [ ] Airdrie | [ ] Bellshill | [ ] Coatbridge |
| [ ] Cumbernauld | [ ] Motherwell | [ ] Wishaw & Shotts | [ ]  NL Wide |
| Strand applied for |  |
| Project Name |  |
| Project Summary |    |
| Total funding requested |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Scoring Matrix  |
| **Score** | **Criteria** |
| 5-6 | 5- Applicant answers question to a high standard with some supporting detail6- Applicant answers question to a high standard with significant supporting detail |
| 3-4 | 3- Applicant answers questions to an adequate degree with minimal supporting detail4- Applicant answers question to an adequate degree with some supporting detail |
| 1-2 | 1- Applicant answers question to a minimal degree with no supporting detail 2- Applicant answers question to a minimal degree with supporting detail |
| 0 | 0- Applicant does not answer the question appropriately  |

|  |
| --- |
| Score Sheet |
| **Criteria** | **Score out of 6** | **Comments** |
| Out of 6, how well does the proposed activity demonstrate how the project will be accessible to all beneficiaries? |  |  |
| Out of 6, how well does the proposed Project Activity meet the stated *Project* outcomes? |  |  |
| Out of 6, how well do the proposed Project Activities meet the stated *Community Solutions* outcomes? |  |  |
| Out of 6, how well does the project demonstrate sustainability beyond this funding. If it does not, does the application demonstrate it can confidently meet its aims within this year’s funding. |  |  |
| Out of 6, how well does the application evidence the need for the proposed project activity? |   |  |
| Out of 6, how well does the application evidence prior and future stakeholder engagement? |  |  |
| Out of 6, how well does the proposed project activity link to the thematic strand applied for? |  |  |
| **Total Score** |  /42 |  |
| Recommendation |  |
| Justification for recommendation |  |